## **EXHIBIT 13**

Pg 2 of 3

[Page 1]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF

NEW YORK BY ANDREW M. CUOMO,

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE

OF NEW YORK,

Plaintiff,

-against-

Index No.:

450879/2009

ASCOT PARTNERS, LP,

ASCOT FUND LIMITED,

GABRIEL CAPITAL, LLC, and

GABRIEL ALTERNATIVE ASSETS,

Defendants.

----X

120 Broadway
23rd Floor
New York, New York 10271
July 1, 2010
10:11 a.m.

EXAMINATION BEFORE TRIAL of J. EZRA MERKIN, a representative of the Defendant in the above-entitled action, taken on behalf of the Plaintiff, held at the above time and place, and taken before Binita Shrestha, a reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York.

Pg 3 of 3

[Page 20]

J. E. MERKIN

- 2 about options strategies, and think that I
- understood what that strategy was, what it
- <sup>4</sup> purported to be, and how basically it
- 5 worked.
- I spent a lot of time doing due
- 7 diligence on what the strategy was with
- 8 Mr. Madoff and about Mr. Madoff's firm with
- 9 others on Wall Street. We had any number of
- 10 meetings with Mr. Madoff about the options.
- 11 Even late in the game when we set up
- 12 meetings for UBP and the fourth quarter of
- 13 2008, we focused on the options, we focused
- $^{14}$  on how they worked with the stocks, we
- 15 focused on how the strategy was meant to
- deliver the kind of return it did. I don't
- 17 think options were something that were new
- 18 to me, alien to me, or not understood by me.
- 19 I think Mr. Madoff's role in the
- 20 industry, I think Mr. Madoff's stature and
- 21 his firm's stature were all things we took
- 22 into account in considering the
- 23 appropriateness of the strategy.
- Q. Have you now finished your answer?
- 25 A. Yes.